



**ADDENDUM NO. 1**

(Issued April 26, 2022)

**Request for Qualifications and Proposals  
Geotechnical and Geohazard Consulting Services for Garfield Elementary School  
and Various School Sites**

The following changes, additions, modifications and corrections hereinafter set forth shall apply to the bid documents for the project and shall be made a part thereof and subject to all the requirements thereof, as if originally specified and/or shown;

**Question #1:**

The RFQ-P describes the existing buildings at the Garfield Elementary School site, but we do not see a description of the proposed new building(s). What is the proposed location and size of the proposed new buildings?

**District Response #1:**

The purpose of RFQ/P for geotechnical and geohazard consulting services at Garfield Elementary School is in support of the structural analysis currently being conducted for the existing buildings. No new buildings are being considered for this school site at this time.

Separately, the RFQ/P is requesting interest in being included in a pre-qualified pool of geotechnical and geohazard consultants for future school projects elsewhere within the District. A complete Statement of Qualifications along with the Garfield Project Fee Proposal is being requested as a single submittal.

**Question #2:**

Is a site plan available that shows the locations of the proposed new buildings?

**District Response #2:**

No new buildings are being considered at this time.

**Question #3:**

Will the existing buildings be demolished before the new buildings are constructed?

**District Response #3:**

No new buildings are being considered at this time. The structural engineering analysis being conducted is to determine the feasibility and cost of seismically retrofitting the existing buildings.

**Question #4:**

Do the existing buildings have basements?

**District Response #4:**

As the existing buildings are located on a downhill grade, there are partial basements.

**Question #5:**

Will the new buildings have a basement?



**Department of Facilities Planning and Management**

---

**District Response #5:**

No new buildings are being considered at this time.

**Question #6:**

How many stories will the new buildings have?

**District Response #6:**

No new buildings are being considered at this time.

**Question #7:**

Are any existing geotechnical, geology, or environmental reports available for the site?

**District Response #7:**

No. Other than drawings, the District did not retain project reports prior to 2012.

**Question #8:**

Paragraph 5 under Part C of the RFQ-P states that the field exploration will consist of borings and CPTs “at the locations related to the three existing phases of construction.” Can this statement be clarified – what are the boring and CPT locations and how do they relate to the three existing phases of construction?

**District Response #8:**

The existing Main Building was constructed in three time periods (or phases), 1959-60, 1969-71 and 1975-76. An elevator tower was added in 2005. The District is requesting that the geotechnical and geohazard consultant advise OUSD on the appropriate boring and CPT locations given the existing site conditions (i.e., building locations, topography, etc.) and the data needed by the structural engineer.

Drawings to the existing building are located in the following Google Shared Folder:

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/OAJehe7Vptu8wUk9PVA>

Access will be granted upon request.

**Question #9:**

Without information about the size and location of the new buildings, construction drawings, and a contractor’s construction schedule, it is difficult to provide an accurate fee estimate for site visits during construction phase services. How many site visits should we assume will be required to observe and test the geotechnical aspects during construction?

**District Response #9:**

The Project is currently limited to structural analysis (as the first of two project phases). The results of the structural analysis will determine the future work (i.e., renovation and/or new construction) for the school site. The District is requesting a Fee Proposal from a geotechnical and geohazard consulting firm to provide only services required for the Project’s first phase (i.e., field exploration, geotechnical and geohazard reports, and consultation services to the Structural Engineer).

Based on the findings of the structural analysis, the Project will proceed to the second phase whereby the District will retain an architectural firm to provide full professional services. The geotechnical and geohazard consulting firm for the first phase will be retained to provide additional services for DSA and CGS submittal coordination, field observation and testing during construction, and DSA close-out.



**Question #10:**

The RFQ-P requests a 10% contingency be added to proposed fees, and that the total fee will be considered the not-to-exceed fee for the Project. If additional site visits are required during construction, will it be possible to request an amendment to the authorized fee?

**District Response #10:**

The Fee Proposal being requested at this time is to cover the structural analysis phase (first phase) and does not include services required for construction observation. The second phase will be better defined for the Project Scope after the findings of the structural analysis has been determined.

**RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM (AS WELL AS PREVIOUSLY ISSUED ADDENDA) MUST BE  
ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE PROPOSAL.**